arXiv:1511.01615v1 [math.PR] 5 Nov 2015

A central limit theorem for stochastic heat equations in random environment

Lu Xu

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the asymptotic behaviors of solution $u(t,\cdot)$ to a 1-dimensional stochastic heat equation with random non-linear terms which is generated by a stationary, ergodic random field. We extend the well-known central limit theorem for diffusions in random environment to this infinite dimensional settings. Due to our result, as $t \to \infty$, $u(t,\cdot)/\sqrt{t}$ satisfies the central limit theorem in L^1 sense with respect to the randomness of the environment. The limit distribution is a centered Gaussian law, whose covariance operator is explicitly described. It concentrates only on the space of constant functions.

1 Introduction and main result

Homogenization of diffusion processes in stationary random environments is a well-studied topic, which includes periodic, quasi-periodic and almost periodic environments as its special cases. For example, for diffusions driven by random second-order partial differential operators, central limit theorem in probability with respect to the coefficients is proved for the self-adjoint case in [13], and for the case without drift in [14]. In [12], an invariance principle in a quenched sense is obtained for diffusion processes in ergodic, almost surely C^2-smooth environment, through a study on the fundamental solution corresponding to the generator. Further results can be found in [9], [10], [11] and many other papers.

In these works, only diffusions in finite-dimensional spaces $\mathbb{R}^d$ are considered. The aim of this paper is to extend the story to infinite-dimensional diffusions. We study the homogenization of the solutions to stochastic partial differential equations in random environment. The equation considered here is a stochastic heat equation on the unit interval $[0,1]$ driven by standard space-time white noise and having a random nonlinear term. Different from the usual finite-dimensional model, the nonlinear term discussed here is supposed to be invariant and ergodic under constant-shifts, that is, a semigroup of shifting operators on the path space indexed by $\mathbb{R}$. We adopt an index set with dimension lower than the space of the solution, because that the Laplacian does not change under such shifts, thus we can define the environment seen from the particle which has Markov property.

The nonlinear term is supposed to be the composition of a gradient-type part and a divergence-free part. If only deterministic gradient-type environment is adopted, such equation is used to describe the motion of a flexible Brownian string in some potential field, see [3]. Moreover, if the environment are supposed to be periodic, the model is closely related to the dynamical sine-Gordon equation (see, e.g., [5]), and an invariance principle for it is obtained in [17].

To state our model, we introduce some basic notations. Throughout this paper, $(H, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_H)$ stands for the Hilbert space $L^2[0,1]$ and its inner product. Let $\|\cdot\|_H$ be the norm induced by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_H$. Let E stand for the space of continuous real functions on $[0,1]$, equipped with the uniform norm. Let $E_0 \subset E$ be the subspace consisting of functions which vanishes at 0, and let μ_0 be the probability measure on E_0 induced by standard Brownian motion starting from the origin. Since such Brownian motion is Hölder continuous with order less than $\frac{1}{2}$, we fix some $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and introduce E^α as the space of α-Hölder continuous functions on $[0,1]$. E^α is viewed as a dense sub-space of E, inheriting the uniform norm.

Given two metric spaces M_1 and M_2, let $C_{b,L}(M_1; M_2)$ be the collection of all bounded, Lipschitz continuous functions $f : M_1 \to M_2$. The class of exponential functions $\mathcal{E}(H)$ (cf. [1]) on H is defined as the linear span of all real and imaginary parts of functions in

$$\left\{ f_h(v) = e^{i\langle v,h\rangle_H} \,\middle|\, h \in C^2[0,1], \partial_x h|_{x=0} = \partial_x h|_{x=1} = 0 \right\}.$$

For a Fréchet differentiable function f on H, denote by $Df(v) = Df(v,\cdot) \in H$ its Fréchet derivative at $v \in H$. If a function f on E^α can be continuously extended to some differentiable function $\bar{f}$ on H, we simply write Df in short of $[D\bar{f}]|_{E^\alpha}$.

Now we introduce our model. Suppose that $(\Sigma, \mathscr{A}, Q)$ and $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ are two complete probability spaces, the latter of which is equipped with a filtration of sub-σ-fields $\{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions (see, e.g., [6]). Let $W(t,x)$ be a standard cylindrical Brownian motion defined on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ and adapted to $\mathscr{F}_t$, and

$$\{(V(\sigma,u), B(\sigma,u)) \in \mathbb{R}\times H; \sigma \in \Sigma, u \in H\}$$

be an $\mathbb{R}\times H$-valued random field over H defined on $(\Sigma, \mathscr{A}, Q)$. We need to assume that V is Q-almost surely differentiable, and also the followings:

(A1) $DV \in C_{b,L}(H;H)$ and $B \in C_{b,L}(H;H)$, Q-a.s.;
(A2) $\sup_{\Sigma\times H}\{|V| + \|DV\|_H + \|B\|_H\} < \infty$;
(A3) B is a divergence-free field in the following sense: for Q-a.e. $\sigma \in \Sigma$,

$$\int_{E_0} e^{-2V(\sigma,u)} \langle Df(u), B(\sigma,u)\rangle_H \mu_0(du) = 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{E}(H).$$

$DV + B$ acts as the nonlinear term in our equation. We also call it the environment in which the equation lives.

For fixed $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $v \in E$, consider the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) = \dfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u(t,x) - DV(\sigma, u(t)) - B(\sigma, u(t)) + \dot{W}(t,x), \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad t > 0, x \in (0,1); \\ \partial_x u(t,x)|_{x=0} = \partial_x u(t,x)|_{x=1} = 0, \qquad\qquad t > 0; \\ u(0,x) = v(x), \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad x \in [0,1]. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Under **(A1)**, for Q-a.e. $\sigma \in \Sigma$, (1.1) has a unique solution $u^{\sigma,v}(t,\cdot) \in E^\alpha$ which is continuous in t (see [3, 4]). We consider the E^α-valued continuous stochastic process

$$u^v(t) \triangleq u^{\cdot,v}(t,\cdot)$$

on the product probability space $(\Sigma \times \Omega, \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{F})$. We sometimes omit the starting point v and write $u(t)$ for short.

The regularity of $u^v(t,\cdot)$ implies that its law depends only on the distribution of the sub-field $\{\tilde{V}, \tilde{B}\} \triangleq \{V(u), B(u)\}_{u \in E^\alpha}$. For this reason and the sake of further discussion, here we adopt a somehow wider path space

$$C^{1,0}_{b,L}(E^\alpha; \mathbb{R} \times H) \triangleq \left\{ \phi = (\tilde{v}, \tilde{b}) \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \tilde{v} \in C_{b,L}(E^\alpha; \mathbb{R}), \tilde{b} \in C_{b,L}(E^\alpha; H), \\ D\tilde{v} \text{ exists and } D\tilde{v} \in C_{b,L}(E^\alpha; H); \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let $P_{\tilde{V},\tilde{B}}$ be the distribution induced by $\{\tilde{V}, \tilde{B}\}$ on this path space. For a real number c, let τ_c be the natural shift operator on the path space defined by

$$\tau_c \circ \phi = \phi(\cdot + c), \quad \forall \phi \in C^{1,0}_{b,L}(E^\alpha, \mathbb{R} \times H).$$

Obviously $\tau_{c_1} \circ \tau_{c_2} = \tau_{c_1 + c_2}$, thus $\{\tau_c\}$ forms a semigroup. We also assume that $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{B})$ is stationary and ergodic, meaning that

(A4) $P_{\tilde{V},\tilde{B}}$ is stationary and ergodic under $\{\tau_c; c \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Now we are prepared for stating our main result. Let Φ_a be the probability density function of a 1-d centered Gaussian law with variance a^2, and $\mathbf{1}$ be the constant function over $[0,1]$ such that $\mathbf{1}(x) \equiv 1$.

Theorem 1.1. *Under* **(A1)** *to* **(A4)**, $u(t)/\sqrt{t}$ *satisfies the central limit theorem in* L^1 *sense with respect to the environment and the limit distribution concentrates on the space of constant functions over* $[0,1]$, *i.e. for any* $f \in C_b(E)$ *we have*

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} E_Q \left| E_P \left[f\left(\frac{u(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right] - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\mathbf{1} \cdot y) \Phi_a(y) dy \right| = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where a *is a constant defined in* (3.7) *below. Furthermore, there exists a strictly positive constant* C *depending only on* V *such that* $C \le a^2 \le 1$.

We end this section with two examples, showing that both periodic and quasi-periodic environments are included as special cases in our model.

Example 1.2. Take $\Sigma = [0,1]$, $\mathscr{A} = \mathcal{B}(\Sigma)$ and Q to be the Lebesgue measure. Suppose V to be a measurable function on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$V(x,\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad V(x,y) = V(x,y+1), \quad \forall x \in [0,1], y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Define the random field (V,B) for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $u \in H$ as

$$V(\sigma,u) = \int_0^1 V(x,u(x)+\sigma)dx$$

and $B(\sigma,u) \equiv 0$. Assume that both V and $\frac{d}{dy}V$ are uniformly bounded, then **(A1)** to **(A4)** are fulfilled. Denote by $u^{\sigma,v}$ the solution to (1.1), then $u^{\sigma,v-\sigma}(t,x)+\sigma$ solves a stochastic heat equation with a periodic nonlinear term of gradient-type, and an invariance principle is proved in [17].

Example 1.3. For $d \geq 2$ take $\Sigma = [0,1]^d$, $\mathscr{A} = \mathcal{B}(\Sigma)$ and Q to be the Lebesgue measure. Suppose V to be a measurable function on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$V(x,\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \forall x \in [0,1];$$

$$V(x,\mathbf{y}) = V(x,\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{e}_i), \quad \forall x \in [0,1], \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \forall i = 1,\dots,d;$$

$$\sup_{[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \{|V|, |\partial_{y_1}V|, \dots, |\partial_{y_d}V|\} < \infty,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_i$ is the unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of i-th coordinate. Let $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a vector with rationally independent coordinates. Define the random field (V,B) for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $u \in H$ as

$$V(\sigma,u) = \int_0^1 V(x,\lambda_1 u(x)+\sigma_1,\dots,\lambda_d u(x)+\sigma_d)dx$$

and $B(\sigma,u) \equiv 0$. It gives the quasi-periodic model.

2 The environment process

Since the statement in Theorem 1.1 depends only on the law of the random field, without loss of generality we may and will fix Σ to be the path space and let Q be the distribution of $(\tilde{V},\tilde{B})$. Precisely, let $\Sigma = C^{1,0}_{b,L}(E^\alpha, \mathbb{R}\times H)$, which is a Polish space under the following Fréchet metric:

$$d(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-k} \cdot \frac{d_k(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)}{1+d_k(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)},$$

for all $\sigma_1 = (\tilde{v}_1,\tilde{b}_1)$ and $\sigma_2 = (\tilde{v}_2,\tilde{b}_2) \in \Sigma$, where

$$d_k(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = \sup_{u\in E_k^\alpha} \left\{ |\tilde{v}_1(u)-\tilde{v}_2(u)| + \|D\tilde{v}_1(u)-D\tilde{v}_2(u)\|_H + \|\tilde{b}_1(u)-\tilde{b}_2(u)\|_H \right\},$$

$$E_k^\alpha = \left\{ u \in E^\alpha, |u(x)| \le k, \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} \le k, \forall x, y \in [0,1] \right\}.$$

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the Borel field determined by $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ and take $Q = P_{\tilde{V},\tilde{B}}$.

As discussed before, there is a semigroup of shifts $\{\tau_c; c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on Σ defined by $\tau_c \circ \sigma = \sigma(\cdot + c)$. Since the shifts are indexed by $\mathbb{R}$, given an environment σ and a function $v = u(\cdot)$ on $[0,1]$, we need to shift σ by $u(x)$ at every site x to define the environment seen from v. Hence, for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $v \in E$ we introduce the *series of environments* ξ as a map from $[0,1]$ to Σ such that

$$\xi = \xi(\cdot); \quad \xi(x) = \tau_{v(x)}\sigma, \quad \forall x \in [0,1]. \tag{2.1}$$

Henceforth we write $\xi = \tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma$ in short of (2.1). Let

$$\Xi = \{\xi = \tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma | \sigma \in \Sigma, v \in E\}$$

be the collection of all series of environments. A real function $\mathbf{f}$ on Ξ is called *differentiable*, if at every $\xi = \tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma \in \Xi$ there exists $\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi,\cdot) \in H$ such that

$$\lim_{h \in E, \|h\|_H \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{f}(\tau_{v(\cdot)+h(\cdot)}\sigma) - \mathbf{f}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma) - \langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi), h\rangle_H}{\|h\|_H} = 0.$$

For such $\mathbf{f}$, $\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}$ is called its derivative function. Higher-order derivatives are defined in a similar way. Now we give the definition of the environmental process, which describes the environment seen from $u^v(t,\cdot)$.

Definition 2.1. The environmental process associated with (1.1) is defined as

$$\xi_t = \xi_t(\cdot) \in \Xi; \quad \xi_t(x) = \tau_{u^{\sigma,v}(t,x)}\sigma, \quad \forall x \in [0,1].$$

It is a stochastic process defined on the product space $(\Sigma \times \Omega, \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{F})$.

Similar to the finite dimensional case, the purpose to introduce the environmental process is to get the Markov property. Before explain it in detail, we first give a simple lemma on the structure of Ξ.

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a sub set $\Xi_0 \subseteq \Xi$ satisfying that $P(\exists t > 0, \xi_t \in \Xi_0) = 0$ and that for each $\xi \notin \Xi_0$, if $\xi = \tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma = \tau_{v'(\cdot)}\sigma'$ holds for some v, $v' \in E$ and some σ, $\sigma' \in \Sigma$, then $v_1 - v_2$ is a constant function on $[0,1]$.*

Proof. Assume that for some $\xi \in \Xi$, we have two different expressions $\xi(x) = \tau_{v(x)}\sigma = \tau_{v'(x)}\sigma'$ where $v - v'$ is not a constant.

Define $\Delta(x,y) = v(x) - v'(x) - v(y) + v'(y)$, then $\tau_{\Delta(x,y)}\sigma = \sigma$ for all x, $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\ker(\sigma) = \{c \in \mathbb{R}; \tau_c\sigma = \sigma\}$. Since Δ is continuous and not always 0, there exists a nondegenerated interval $[a,b] \subseteq \ker(\sigma)$. It easily follows from the semigroup property of $\{\tau_c\}$ that $\ker(\sigma) = \mathbb{R}$. Therefore,

$$\xi \in \Xi_0 = \{\xi = \tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma | v \in E, \ker(\sigma) = \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Since Q is ergodic, $P(\{\exists t \ge 0, \xi_t \in \Xi_0\}) = Q(\{\sigma; \ker(\sigma) = \mathbb{R}\}) = 0$. □

Remark 2.3. Given an arbitrary $\xi \in \Xi$ and supposed it has an expression $\xi = \tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma$, we can define $v_\xi = v_\xi(\cdot) \in E_0$ by $v_\xi(x) = v(x) - v(0)$. By Lemma 2.2, if $\xi \notin \Xi_0$ then v_ξ does not depend on the choice of v and σ. Apparently,

$$\xi(x) = \tau_{v_\xi(x)}[\tau_{v(0)}\sigma] = \tau_{v_\xi(x)}[\xi(0)], \quad \forall x \in [0,1].$$

On the other hand, if $\xi \in \Xi$ we can define $v_\xi(x) \equiv 0$ to fulfill this equation. Hence we can assign a unique 'standard' expression $\xi = \tau_{v_\xi(\cdot)}[\xi(0)]$ to each $\xi \in \Xi$ such that $v_\xi \in E_0$. To this level, Ξ can be viewed as isomorphic to $E_0 \times \Sigma$.

The random field $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{B})$ is actually generated by a functional defined on Ξ. Indeed, recalling that $\Sigma = C^{1,0}_{b,L}(E^\alpha, \mathbb{R} \times H)$, define a $\mathbb{R} \times H$-valued functional $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{B})$ as

$$(\mathbf{V}(\xi), \mathbf{B}(\xi)) \triangleq [\xi(0)](v_\xi)$$

for every $\xi \in \Xi$, where $v_\xi \in E_0$ is the function appeared in the standard expression of ξ in Remark 2.3. The random field defined by

$$\left\{\mathbf{V}(\tau_{u(\cdot)}\sigma), \mathbf{B}(\tau_{u(\cdot)}\sigma)\right\}_{\sigma\in\Sigma, u\in E^\alpha}$$

has the identical distribution with $\{\tilde{V}, \tilde{B}\}$.

In summary, the discussion above shows that without loss of generality, we can assume that (Σ, d) is a Polish where a semigroup of Q-preserving transfers $\{\tau_c; c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is defined, and that Q is the ergodic measure. Furthermore, it suffice to prove Theorem 1.1 for the following equation instead of (1.1):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^{\sigma,v}(t,x) = \dfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u^{\sigma,v}(t,x) - \mathcal{D}\mathbf{V}(\tau_{u^{\sigma,v}(t,\cdot)}\sigma) - \mathbf{B}(\tau_{u^{\sigma,v}(t,\cdot)}\sigma) + \dot{W}(t,x), \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad t > 0, x \in (0,1); \\ \partial_x u^{\sigma,v}(t,x)|_{x=0} = \partial_x u^{\sigma,v}(t,x)|_{x=1} = 0, \qquad\qquad t > 0; \\ u^{\sigma,v}(0,x) = v(x), \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad x \in [0,1], \end{cases} \tag{1.1'}$$

where $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{B})$ is a $\mathbb{R} \times H$-valued functional on Ξ, the space of series of environments, such that the random field defined by

$$(V(\sigma,u), B(\sigma,u)) = (\mathbf{V}(\tau_{u(\cdot)}\sigma), \mathbf{B}(\tau_{u(\cdot)}\sigma)), \quad \forall \sigma \in \Sigma, u \in E$$

satisfies **(A1)** to **(A3)**.

For fixed σ, denote by $\mathbb{P}_v^\sigma$ the law of the solution to (1.1'), and write the corresponding expectation by $\mathbb{E}_v^\sigma$. Let $\mathcal{P}_t^\sigma$ be the Markov semigroup associated with $u^{\sigma,v}(t,\cdot)$, and let $\{P(t;v,\cdot)\}_{v\in E}$ be the transition probabilities at time t. Under these notations, we give the proof of the Markov property of $\{\xi_t\}$.

Proposition 2.4. *The environmental process ξ_t is a Markov process on Ξ, which determines the Markov semigroup $\{\mathcal{P}_t\}$ satisfying that*

$$\mathcal{P}_t\mathbf{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{P}_t^{\xi(0)} f^{\xi(0)}(v_\xi) \tag{2.2}$$

for every bounded measurable function $\mathbf{f}$ on Ξ, where $f^\sigma(v) \triangleq \mathbf{f}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma)$.

Proof. Notice that $u^{\tau_c\sigma,v}$ and $u^{\sigma,v+c}-c$ are identical in law for all $c\in\mathbb{R}$. In view of Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.3, for $\xi=\tau_v(\cdot)\sigma$ we have

$$P^\sigma(t;v,\cdot)=P^{\tau_{-v(0)}[\xi(0)]}(t;v,\cdot)=P^{\xi(0)}(t;v_\xi,\cdot-v(0)). \tag{2.3}$$

Pick $\sigma\in\Sigma$, $v\in E$, for all $t>0$, $h>0$, $n\geq 0$, $0\leq t_1\leq\ldots\leq t_n<t+h$ and bounded measurable functions $\mathbf{f}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{f}_n,\mathbf{g}$ on Ξ, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_v^\sigma\left[\prod_{j=1}^n\mathbf{f}_j(\xi_{t_j})\mathbf{g}(\xi_{t+h})\right]=\mathbb{E}_v^\sigma\left[\prod_{j=1}^n f_j^\sigma(u^{\sigma,v}(t_j))g^\sigma(u^{\sigma,v}(t+h))\right].$$

Using the Markov property of $u^{\sigma,v}(t)$ for fixed σ, the expectation above equals to

$$\mathbb{E}_v^\sigma\left[\prod_{j=1}^n f_j^\sigma(u^{\sigma,v}(t_j))\int_E g^\sigma(u)P^\sigma(h;u^{\sigma,v}(t),du)\right].$$

Applying (2.3) with $\xi=\xi_t$ and $v=u^{\sigma,v}(t)$, this expectation equals to

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_v^\sigma\left[\prod_{j=1}^n\mathbf{f}_j(\xi_{t_j})\int_E g^\sigma(u)P^{\xi_t(0)}(h;v_{\xi_t},d(u-u^{\sigma,v}(t,0)))\right]\\=&\mathbb{E}_v^\sigma\left[\prod_{j=1}^n\mathbf{f}_j(\xi_{t_j})\int_E g^{\xi_t(0)}(u)P^{\xi_t(0)}(h;v_{\xi_t},du)\right]\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the last line that ξ_t is a Markov process and

$$\mathcal{P}_t\mathbf{f}(\xi)\triangleq\int_E f^{\xi(0)}(u)P_t^{\xi(0)}(v_\xi,du)=\mathcal{P}_t^{\xi(0)}f^{\xi(0)}(v_\xi),\quad\forall t\geq 0$$

is the associated Markov semigroup. □

Next we construct the stationary measure and the generator of $\{\mathcal{P}_t\}$. Recalling the definition of the exponential function class $\mathcal{E}(H)$, we introduce class of exponential functions on Ξ similarly. Let

$$\mathcal{E}(\Xi)\triangleq\left\{\mathbf{f}:\Xi\to\mathbb{R};\forall\sigma\in\Sigma,f^\sigma\in\mathcal{E}(H)\right\}.$$

Here by $f^\sigma\in\mathcal{E}(H)$ we mean that f^σ can be continuously extended to some function belonging to $\mathcal{E}(H)$. Notice that if $f^\sigma(v)\in\mathcal{E}(H)$,

$$f^{\tau_c\sigma}(v)=\mathbf{f}(\tau_{v(\cdot)+c}\sigma)=f^\sigma(v+c)$$

also belongs to $\mathcal{E}(H)$, so that $\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ is well-defined. Each function $\mathbf{f}\in\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ is differentiable and its derivative $\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi)=\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi,\cdot)\in C^2[0,1]$ satisfies that

$$\partial_x[\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi,x)]|_{x=0}=\partial_x[\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi,x)]|_{x=1}=0.$$

Recall that a core of a closed operator $\mathcal{K}$ is a set $\mathcal{C}\subseteq\mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\mathcal{K}$ coincides with the closure of its own restriction to $\mathcal{C}$. The following proposition holds for $\{\mathcal{P}_t\}$.

Proposition 2.5. *$\{\mathcal{P}_t\}$ admits an invariant and ergodic measure*

$$\pi(d\xi) = Z^{-1}\exp(-2\mathbf{V}(\xi))\mu_0(dv_\xi)\otimes Q(d\xi(0)), \tag{2.4}$$

where $Z = \int_\Xi \exp(-2\mathbf{V}(\xi))\mu_0(dv_\xi)\otimes Q(d\xi(0))$ is the normalization constant. Moreover, its generator $\mathcal{K}$ on $L^2(\Xi,\pi)$ satisfies that for all $\mathbf{f}\in\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$,

$$\mathcal{K}\mathbf{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\langle\partial_x^2[\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi)], v_\xi\rangle_H - \langle\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi), \mathcal{D}\mathbf{V}(\xi)+\mathbf{B}(\xi)\rangle_H + \frac{1}{2}Tr[\mathcal{D}^2\mathbf{f}(\xi)]. \tag{2.5}$$

Finally, $\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ is a core of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof. Define π on $(\Xi,\mathscr{G})$ as in (2.4) and write $\mathcal{H}_\pi = L^2(\Xi,\pi)$. Firstly we prove that $\{\mathcal{P}_t\}$ can be continuously extended to a C^0 semigroup on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$, with a generator defined through Hille-Yosida theorem satisfying (2.5).

Let $u_0(t) = u_0(t,\cdot)$ be the solution to the linear stochastic heat equation on $[0,1]$

$$\partial_t u_0(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u_0(t,x) + \dot{W}(t,x),$$

with the same boundary and initial condition with (1.1'). Let $\mathcal{T}_t^0$ be the Markov semigroup on $C_b(H)$ associated with $u_0(t)$. Classical results on the semigroups of stochastic PDEs (cf. [1]) shows that $\mathcal{T}_t^0[\mathcal{E}(H)]\subseteq\mathcal{E}(H)$ and for all $f\in\mathcal{E}(H)$,

$$\limsup_{t\downarrow 0}\sup_{v\in H}\frac{1}{1+\|v\|_H}\left|\frac{\mathcal{T}_t^0 f_h(v)-f_h(v)}{t} - \mathcal{L}^0 f_h(v)\right| = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^0 f(v) = \frac{1}{2}\langle\partial_x^2[Df(v)], v\rangle_H - \frac{1}{2}Tr[D^2 f(v)].$$

Given $\mathbf{f}\in\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$, let $f^\sigma(v) = \mathbf{f}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{T}_t\mathbf{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{T}_t^0 f^{\xi(0)}(v_\xi)$. By (2.6), $\mathcal{T}_t$ can be extended to a C^0 semigroup on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$, with an infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{L}$ defined through Hille-Yosida theorem, satisfying that $\mathcal{E}(\Xi)\subseteq\mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{L})$ and

$$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{L}^0 f^{\xi(0)}(v_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\langle\partial_x^2[\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi)], v_\xi\rangle_H + \frac{1}{2}Tr[\mathcal{D}^2\mathbf{f}(\xi)], \quad \forall\mathbf{f}\in\mathcal{E}(\Xi).$$

Moreover, for $f\in C_b^1(H)$ and fixed $v\in E$ we have

$$\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\frac{\mathcal{P}_t^\sigma f(v)-\mathcal{T}_t^0 f(v)}{t} = -\langle Df(v), \mathcal{D}\mathbf{V}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma)+\mathbf{B}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma)\rangle_H. \tag{2.7}$$

Using (2.7) and dominated convergence theorem, for $\mathbf{f}\in\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ the following limit

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\frac{\mathcal{P}_t\mathbf{f}(\xi)-\mathbf{f}(\xi)}{t} &= \lim_{t\downarrow 0}\frac{\mathcal{T}_t\mathbf{f}(\xi)-\mathbf{f}(\xi)}{t} + \lim_{t\downarrow 0}\frac{\mathcal{P}_t^{\xi(0)}f^{\xi(0)}(v_\xi)-\mathcal{T}_t^0 f^{\xi(0)}(v_\xi)}{t}\\ &= \mathcal{L}\mathbf{f}(\xi) - \langle\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi), \mathcal{D}\mathbf{V}(\xi)+\mathbf{B}(\xi)\rangle_H\end{aligned}$$

converges in $\mathcal{H}_\pi$, thus (2.5) follows. In fact we can conclude further that

$$\mathcal{K}\mathbf{f} = \mathcal{L}\mathbf{f} - \langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{D}\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{B}\rangle_H, \quad \forall \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{L}) \cap C_b^1(\Xi). \tag{2.8}$$

Next we prove that $\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ is a core of $\mathcal{K}$. Due to Lumer-Phillips theorem (see, e.g., [18]), it suffice to show that $\mathcal{K}$ is dissipative and $(\lambda - \mathcal{K})[\mathcal{E}(\Xi)]$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. By **(A3)** and the integral-by-part formula of Wiener measure we have

$$\langle -\mathcal{K}\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}\rangle_\pi = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Xi \|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi)\|_H^2 \pi(d\xi), \quad \forall \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi). \tag{2.9}$$

The dissipativity easily follows from (2.9). For the denseness, for $\lambda > 0$ and an arbitrarily given $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)$, let

$$\mathbf{f}(\xi) = (\lambda - \mathcal{K})^{-1}\mathbf{g}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}\mathcal{P}_t\mathbf{g}(\xi)dt.$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_t[\mathcal{E}(\Xi)] \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\Xi)$, $\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ is a core of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ (see [2, Proposition 1.3.3]). Pick a sequence $\{\mathbf{f}_m \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi); m \geq 0\}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_\pi\text{-}\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathbf{f}_m = \mathbf{f}, \quad \mathcal{H}_\pi\text{-}\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathcal{L}\mathbf{f}_m = \mathcal{L}\mathbf{f}.$$

The goal is to prove that $(\lambda - \mathcal{K})\mathbf{f}_m$ approximates $\mathbf{g}$ in $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. Since $\mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{K}) \cap C_b^1(\Xi)$,

$$\lim_{t\downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{T}_t\mathbf{f}(\xi) - \mathbf{f}(\xi)}{t} = \lim_{t\downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{P}_t\mathbf{f}(\xi) - \mathbf{f}(\xi)}{t} - \lim_{t\downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{P}_t\mathbf{f}(\xi) - \mathcal{T}_t\mathbf{f}(\xi)}{t}$$

converges strongly in $\mathcal{H}_\pi$, meaning that $\mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{L})$. By virtue of (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} E_\pi|\mathbf{g} - (\lambda - \mathcal{K})\mathbf{f}_m|^2 &= E_\pi\langle \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_m), \mathcal{D}\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{B}\rangle_H^2 \\ &\leq C_1\langle -\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_m), \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_m\rangle_\pi \end{aligned}$$

vanishes as $m \to \infty$, where $C_1 = \sup \|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{B}\|_H^2 \cdot \exp(4 \sup |\mathbf{V}|)$.

Finally, (2.9) shows that $E_\pi \mathcal{K}\mathbf{f} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)$, thus π is invariant under $\mathcal{P}_t$. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{K}\mathbf{f} = 0$ then $\|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}\|_H = 0$, π-almost surely. Since Q is ergodic, $\mathbf{f}$ is almost surely a constant and the ergodicity of π then follows. □

We end this section by pointing out that $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the sector condition. To this end, let $\mathcal{K}_a\mathbf{f} = -\langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{B}\rangle_H$ and $\mathcal{K}_s = \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_a$. Due to **(A3)**, for all $\mathbf{f}$, $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)$,

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_a\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}\rangle_\pi + \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathcal{K}_a\mathbf{g}\rangle_\pi = \frac{1}{Z}\int_\Sigma Q(d\sigma) \int_{E_0} e^{-2\mathbf{V}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma)} \left\langle D[-f^\sigma g^\sigma], \mathbf{B}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma)\right\rangle_H d\mu_0 \equiv 0,$$

meaning that $\mathcal{K}_a$ is anti-symmetric on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{K}_s\mathbf{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\langle \partial_x^2[\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi)], v_\xi\rangle_H - \langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi), \mathcal{D}\mathbf{V}(\xi)\rangle_H + \frac{1}{2}Tr[\mathcal{D}^2\mathbf{f}(\xi)]$$

is symmetric with respect to π, thus $\mathcal{K}_a$ is the anti-symmetric part of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proposition 2.6. *The generator $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the sector condition, i.e.*

$$\langle \mathcal{K}\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}\rangle_\pi^2 \le C\langle -\mathcal{K}\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}\rangle_\pi \langle -\mathcal{K}\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}\rangle_\pi, \quad \forall \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{K}), \tag{2.10}$$

where $C = C(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{B})$ is a finite constant depending only on $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{B}$.

Proof. Due to Proposition 2.5, (2.9) and the discussion above, it suffices to prove

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_a\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}\rangle_\pi^2 \le C(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{B}) E_\pi \|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}\|_H^2 E_\pi \|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{g}\|_H^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi).$$

Recall that $V(\sigma, v) = \mathbf{V}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma)$ and $B(\sigma, v) = \mathbf{B}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma)$. Due to **(A2)** and **(A3)**, with $C_2 = \sup \|\mathbf{B}\|_H^2 \cdot \exp(4 \sup |\mathbf{V}|)$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle -\mathcal{K}_a\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}\rangle_\pi &= \frac{1}{Z}\int_\Sigma Q(d\sigma) \int_{E_0} e^{-2V(\sigma,v)} \left\langle Df^\sigma(v), B(\sigma, v)\right\rangle_H \left(g^\sigma(v) - \langle g^\sigma\rangle_{\mu_0}\right) \mu_0(dv) \\ &\le \frac{C_2}{Z}\int_\Sigma Q(d\sigma) \int_{E_0} \|Df^\sigma(v)\|_H \left|g^\sigma(v) - \langle g^\sigma\rangle_{\mu_0}\right| d\mu_0.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Schwarz inequality we get that with some $C = C(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{B}) < \infty$,

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_a\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}\rangle_\pi^2 \le CE_\pi \|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}\|_H^2 \cdot \left[\frac{1}{Z}\int_\Sigma Q(d\sigma) \int_{E_0} |g^\sigma(v) - \langle g^\sigma\rangle_{\mu_0}|^2 d\mu_0\right].$$

Recall the Poincaré inequality for the Winer measure (see, e.g., [15]). Given a function f with the form $f(v) = \bar{f}(\langle v, h_1\rangle_H, \ldots, \langle v, h_n\rangle_H)$ where $n > 0$, $\bar{f} \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in H$, we have

$$\int_{E_0} \left|f(v) - \langle f\rangle_{\mu_0}\right|^2 \mu_0(dv) \le \int_{E_0} \|D_M f(v)\|_{C-M}^2 \mu_0(dv),$$

where $D_M f$ denotes the Malliavin derivative of f and $\|\cdot\|_{C-M}$ is the Cameron-Martin norm. Let $a_j = \partial_{x_j}\bar{f}(\langle v, h_1\rangle_H, \ldots, \langle v, h_n\rangle_H)$ and $H_j = H_j(\cdot) = \int_\cdot^1 h_j(y)dy$, we have

$$\|D_M f(v)\|_{C-M} = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_j H_j\right\|_H \le \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_j h_j\right\|_H = \|Df(v)\|_H,$$

and the sector condition follows easily. □

3 Central limit theorem for $u(t)/\sqrt{t}$

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we introduce two Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ related to the operator $\mathcal{K}$ (cf. [8, §2.2]).

Notice that (2.9) defines a semi-norm $\|\mathbf{f}\|_1^2 = \langle -\mathcal{K}\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}\rangle_\pi$ for $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)$. Let $\mathscr{I}_1 = \mathcal{E}(\Xi)/\sim_1$, where $\sim_1$ is the equivalence relation defined by $\mathbf{f} \sim_1 \mathbf{g} \iff \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}\|_1 = 0$. $\|\cdot\|_1$ naturally extends to a norm on $\mathscr{I}_1$, and let $\mathcal{H}_1$ be the

completion of $(\mathscr{I}_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$. $\mathcal{H}_1$ becomes a Hilbert space under the inner product given by polarization:

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_1 \triangleq \frac{1}{4}(\|\mathbf{f}+\mathbf{g}\|_1^2 + \|\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{g}\|_1^2).$$

Since $\|\mathbf{f}\|_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}E_\pi \|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}\|_H^2$ for $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)$, $\mathcal{D}$ continuously extends to a bounded operator from $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $L^2(\Xi, H; \pi)$, which is still denoted by $\mathcal{D}$. The explicit form of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ is

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Xi \langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi), \mathcal{D}\mathbf{g}(\xi) \rangle_H \pi(d\xi).$$

Meanwhile, for $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)$, the Itô formula for $u^{\sigma,v}(t)$ implies that

$$\mathbf{f}(\xi_t) - \mathbf{f}(\xi_0) = \int_0^t \mathcal{K}\mathbf{f}(\xi_r)dr + \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(\xi_r), dW_r \rangle_H \tag{3.1}$$

holds almost surely. Since $\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ is a core, (3.1) holds for all $\mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{K})$.

We define the dual space $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ of $\mathcal{H}_1$ as follows. For $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$, let

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{-1}^2 \triangleq \sup_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)} \left\{ 2\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_\pi - \|\mathbf{g}\|_1^2 \right\}.$$

Let $\mathscr{I}_{-1}^0 = \{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}_\pi, \|\mathbf{f}\|_{-1} < \infty\}$ and $\mathscr{I}_{-1} = \mathscr{I}_{-1}^0 / \sim_{-1}$, where $\sim_{-1}$ is the equivalence relation defined by $\mathbf{f} \sim_{-1} \mathbf{g} \iff \|\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{g}\|_{-1} = 0$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ be the completion of $(\mathscr{I}_{-1}, \|\cdot\|_{-1})$, which is also a Hilbert space under the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-1}$ defined through polarization. The following relation holds between $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$:

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{-1} = \sup_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)} \left\{ \frac{\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_\pi}{\|\mathbf{g}\|_1}; \|\mathbf{g}\|_1 \neq 0 \right\}, \quad \forall \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}_\pi \cap \mathcal{H}_{-1}.$$

As an easy corollary, $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ if and only if there exists a finite constant C_0 such that $|\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_\pi| \leq C_0 \|\mathbf{g}\|_1$ for all $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)$, and in such cases $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{-1} \leq C_0$.

Pick some test function $\varphi \in C^2[0,1]$ such that $\varphi'(0) = \varphi'(1) = 0$, the weak form of (1.1') reads

$$\langle u^\sigma(t), \varphi \rangle_H = \langle u^\sigma(0), \varphi \rangle_H + \int_0^t \mathbf{U}_\varphi(\xi_r)dr + \langle W_t, \varphi \rangle_H, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\mathbf{U}_\varphi(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\langle v_\xi, \partial_x^2 \varphi \rangle_H - \langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{V}(\xi) + \mathbf{B}(\xi), \varphi \rangle_H.$$

We claim that $\mathbf{U}_\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-1}$. Indeed, a classical approximation argument on **(A3)** yields that for all φ's,

$$\int_{E_0} e^{-2\mathbf{V}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma)} \langle \varphi, \mathbf{B}(\tau_{v(\cdot)}\sigma) \rangle_H \mu_0(dv) = 0.$$

By this and a computation similar to the proof of Proposition 2.6 we can conclude that $\langle \mathbf{U}_\varphi, \mathbf{g}\rangle_H \le C\|\mathbf{g}\|_1$ holds for all $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)$, therefore $\mathbf{U}_\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-1}$.

We are now at the position to give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Firstly, we prove the weak convergence of $\langle u^\sigma(t), \varphi\rangle_H/\sqrt{t}$. For $\lambda > 0$, consider the resolvent equation associated with $\mathcal{K}$:

$$\lambda \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi} - \mathcal{K}\mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi} = \mathbf{U}_\varphi. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $\mathcal{K}$ is dissipative and $\mathbf{U}_\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-1}$, $\mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi}$ exists and belongs to $\mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{K}) \cap \mathcal{H}_1$. Define the Dynkin's martingale for $\mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi}$ as

$$M_{\lambda,\varphi}(t) = \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi}(\xi_t) - \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi}(\xi_0) - \int_0^t \mathcal{K}\mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi}(\xi_r)dr.$$

Through a standard method (see, e.g., [8, Theorem 2.14, §2.7 and §9.5]), we can conclude from the sector condition of $\mathcal{K}$ that

$$\lim_{\lambda\downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\sup_{0\le t\le T} \left| M_{\lambda,\varphi}(t) - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi(\xi_r), dW_r\rangle_H \right|^2 \right] = 0, \quad \forall T > 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\mathbf{f}_\varphi = \mathcal{H}_1\text{-}\lim_{\lambda\downarrow 0} \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is the extended differential operator. Furthermore, under the same condition we have (see, e.g., [8, Lemma 2.10]),

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \lim_{\lambda\downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\frac{1}{t} \left| \int_0^t \mathbf{U}_\varphi(\xi_r)dr - M_{\lambda,\varphi}(t) \right|^2 \right] = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Combining (3.2), (3.4) and (3.5) together, we get

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\frac{1}{t} \left| \langle u^{\sigma,v}(t), \varphi\rangle_H - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi(\xi_r) + \varphi, dW_r\rangle_H \right|^2 \right] = 0.$$

Notice that π is ergodic, by central limit theorem for martingales (see, e.g., [16]) we conclude that for all bounded continuous function f on $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} E_Q \left| E_P \left[f\left(\frac{\langle u(t), \varphi\rangle_H}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right] - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)\Phi_{a(\varphi)}(y)dy \right| = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\Phi_{a(\varphi)}$ stands for the probability density function of a centered Gaussian law with covariance $a^2(\varphi) = E_\pi\|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi + \varphi\|_H^2$.

Next, to prove (1.2), it suffice to verify that $a(\varphi) = 0$ for all φ orthogonal to the constant function $\mathbf{1}$. Consider the function $\mathbf{g}_\varphi(\xi) \triangleq -\langle v_\xi, \varphi\rangle_H$ for such φ. $\mathbf{g}_\varphi$ is well defined and solves the so-called cell problem

$$-\mathcal{K}\mathbf{g}_\varphi = \mathbf{U}_\varphi.$$

Hence $\|\mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi} - \mathbf{g}_\varphi\|_1^2 = -\lambda\|\mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi}\|_\pi^2 + \lambda\langle \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi}, \mathbf{g}_\varphi\rangle_\pi$ vanishes when $\lambda \downarrow 0$, $\mathbf{g}_\varphi$ coincides with $\mathbf{f}_\varphi$ in (3.4). Thus $a^2(\varphi) = E_\pi\|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{g}_\varphi + \varphi\|_H^2 = 0$. Consequentially, (1.2) holds with

$$a^2 = a^2(\mathbf{1}) = \lim_{\lambda\downarrow 0} E_\pi\|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\mathbf{1}} + 1\|_H^2, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\mathbf{1}}$ is the solution to (3.3) when $\varphi = \mathbf{1}$.

Finally, to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we show that $a^2 \in [C, 1]$ for some $C > 0$ depending only on $\mathbf{V}$. To do this, we introduce two scalar products for F, $G : \Xi \to H$. Let $\langle\!\langle F, G \rangle\!\rangle_\pi = E_\pi \langle F, G \rangle_H$, and

$$\langle\!\langle F, G \rangle\!\rangle = \int_\Xi \langle F(\xi), G(\xi) \rangle_H \mu_0(dv_\xi) \otimes Q(d\xi(0)).$$

Furthermore, define the norm $\|\!| F |\!\|^2 = \langle\!\langle F, F \rangle\!\rangle$ and denote by $L^2(\Xi, H)$ the Hilbert space consisting of all functions with finite $\|\!| \cdot |\!\|$ norm, equipped with the inner product $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle$. Define $\|\!| \cdot |\!\|_\pi$ and $L^2_\pi(\Xi, H)$ from $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle_\pi$ similarly.

From (3.3) we know $\langle \lambda \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi} - \mathcal{K} \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi} - \mathbf{U}_\varphi, \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi} \rangle_\pi = 0$, which implies that

$$\lambda \|\mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi}\|^2_\pi + \frac{1}{2} \|\!| \mathcal{D} \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi} |\!\|^2_\pi + \frac{1}{2} \langle\!\langle \varphi, \mathcal{D} \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi} \rangle\!\rangle_\pi = 0.$$

Let $\lambda \downarrow 0$, we have $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi + \varphi, \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi \rangle\!\rangle_\pi = 0$, so that $\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi + \varphi$ and $-\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi$ are orthogonal to each other in $L^2_\pi(\Xi, H)$. Taking $\varphi = \mathbf{1}$, it easily follows from the orthogonality that

$$a^2 = E_\pi \|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi + \varphi\|^2_H \le E_\pi \|\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi + \varphi - \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi\|^2_H = 1.$$

On the other hand, since Q is $\{\tau_c\}$-invariant, for all $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ we have

$$\langle\!\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f} \rangle\!\rangle = \int_\Sigma \int_{E_0} \left[\frac{d}{dc} f^{\tau_c \sigma}(v) \Big|_{c=0} \right] \mu_0(dv) Q(d\sigma) = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}(\Xi)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_1$, $\mathbf{1}$ is orthogonal to the subspace $\mathcal{H}_g \triangleq \{\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}; \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}_1\}$ under the inner product $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle$. Therefore, with $C = Z^{-1} \cdot \exp(-2 \sup |\mathbf{V}|)$ we can conclude

$$a^2 \ge \min_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}_1} \|\!| \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{1} |\!\|^2_\pi \ge C \min_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}_1} \|\!| \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{1} |\!\|^2 = C.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

Remark 3.1. When $\mathbf{B} = 0$, ξ_t is symmetric with respect to the invariant measure π, and the following variational principle (cf. [8, Theorem 10.5]) holds for the limiting variance $a^2(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in C^2[0, 1]$ such that $\varphi'(0) = \varphi'(1)$:

$$a^2(\varphi) = \min_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}_1} \|\!| \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f} + \varphi |\!\|^2_\pi. \tag{3.8}$$

Indeed, let $\mathbf{B} = 0$ and write (3.3) in the weak form for $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{E}(H)$,

$$\lambda \langle \mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_\pi + \frac{1}{2} \langle\!\langle \mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_{\lambda,\varphi} + \varphi, \mathcal{D}\mathbf{g} \rangle\!\rangle_\pi = 0.$$

Let $\lambda \downarrow 0$, we conclude that $\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\varphi + \varphi$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{H}_g$ under the inner product $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle_\pi$. Hence (3.8) is clear because that $\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_g$. In summary, the non-gradient term in (1.1') always enhances the fluctuation of the solution.

References

[1] G. Da Prato, L. Tubaro, 2001, Some results about dissipativity of Kolmogorov operators. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 126: 685-699.

[2] S. Ethier, T. Kurtz, 2005, *Markov Process: Characterization and Convergence.* Wiley-InterScience.

[3] T. Funaki, 1983, Random motion of strings and related stochastic evolution equations. *Nagoya Math. J.* 89: 129-193.

[4] T. Funaki, 1991, Regularity properties for stochastic partial differential equations of parabolic type. *Osaka J. Math.* 28: 495-516.

[5] M. Hairer, H. Shen, 2014, The dynamical sine-Gordon model. arXiv: 1409.5724.

[6] I. Karatzas, S. Shreve, 1991, *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus, second edition.* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

[7] C. Kipnis, S. Varadhan, 1986, Central limit theorem for additive functionals of reversible Markov processes and applications to simple exclusions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 104(1): 1-19.

[8] T. Komorowski, C. Landim, S. Olla, 2012, *Fluctuations in Markov processes.* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

[9] C. Landim, S. Olla, H-T. Yau, 1998, Convection-diffusion equation with space-time ergodic random flow. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields.* 112(2): 203-220.

[10] K. Oelschläger, 1988, Homogenization of a diffusion process in a divergence-free random field. *Ann. Probab.* 16(3): 1084-1126.

[11] S. Olla, 2001, Central limit theorems for tagged particles and for diffusions in random environment. *Panoramas et synthèses - Société mathématique de France.* 12: 75-100.

[12] F. Osada, 1983, Homogenization of diffusion processes with random stationary coefficients. *Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, Tbilissi, 1982. Lecture Notes in Math.* 1021: 507-517.

[13] G. Papanicolaou, S. Varadhan, 1981, Boundary value problems with rapidly oscillating random coefficients. *Random fields, vols I, II, Esztergom, 1979. Colloq math soc János Bolyai* 27: 835-873.

[14] G. Papanicolaou, S. Varadhan, 1982, Diffusions with random coefficients. *Statistics and probability: essays in honor of CR Rao.* 547-552.

[15] I. Shigekawa, 2004, *Stochastic analysis. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 224.* Amer. Math. Soc.

[16] W. Whitt, 2007, Proofs of the martingale FCLT. *Probab. Surv.* 4: 268-302.

[17] L. Xu, 2015, An invariance principle for stochastic heat equations with periodic coefficients. arXiv 1505.03391.

[18] K. Yosida, 1980, *Functional analysis. Sixth edition.* Springer-Verlag Berlin New York.